Congress of the United States

House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

September 16, 2002

The Honorable Michael Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Powell:

Over the last two years, we have witnessed a dramatic decline in the telecommunications sector of the economy. Thousands of our constituents and nearly 500,000 people nationally who were once employed by the telecommunications industry have lost their jobs. The industry has lost \$2 trillion of market value and is saddled with nearly \$1 trillion of debt. In your own words, "the telecommunications industry is riding on very stormy seas."

Congress passed the Telecommunications Act of 1996 with the stated goals of promoting competition and reducing regulation in order to secure lower prices and higher quality services for American telecommunications consumers and encouraging the rapid deployment of new telecommunications technologies. To be certain, competition among all types of communications providers has increased dramatically in the six and a half years since the Act's passage. But because a perverse system of regulation rather than the market has created much of this competition, it has had a negative effect on the ability of the industry to innovate and invest in new advanced services.

The current system of federal pricing and unbundling rules and state regulatory orders is eroding investment in telecommunications networks, threatening the development of innovative new services and retarding the development of full-scale facilities-based competition envisioned by the Act. At the heart of this regulatory regime is the requirement that Regional Bell Operating Companies provide elements of their network, and particularly combinations of elements, to other telecommunications companies at prices far below their actual cost. While Congress prescribed the unbundled network element form of competition, in no way did it intend to establish, nor even foresee the possibility of establishing, a system that forces companies to provide network elements at prices far below their cost. Such a regime undermines the Act's goal of promoting facilities-based competition by discouraging telecommunications companies competing with the Bells from

investing in their own new networks. It simply makes no economic sense for these companies to spend the billions of dollars necessary to invest in their own networks if they can instead rent access to Bell company networks and resell their service at an enormous profit.

The current regulatory system has also caused dramatic reductions in capital spending by the Bell companies, who have neither the incentive nor the financial ability to make investments in their networks that end up subsidizing their direct competitors. As the financial research firm Dresdner Kleinwort Wasserstein recently wrote, "In the long term, the consumer will suffer as [incumbent phone companies] cut their capital budgets by 30%, which will produce fewer services, more network outages, and crummier customer service." Sadly, those long-term effects are already being felt. The Bell companies have cut capital spending by \$12 billion over the last two years, and each has recently seen its credit rating — which determines a company's ability to access the capital markets — downgraded as a result of concerns over the current regulatory regime. This cutback in spending affects not just consumers clamoring for new services, but also the high-tech equipment industry, which depends enormously on the Bells' capital spending.

The grave situation confronting the telecommunications industry grows more severe with each passing day. It is important for the FCC to address the wholesale pricing issue in a manner that restores the proper incentives for investment in the telecommunications sector. We know that you share our goals of promoting true competition that encourages innovation and promotes economic growth, and we hope that you will take quick and decisive action to further those goals.

Sincerely,

Billy Tauzin

Steve Buyer

*i*Petr

aran Padanaviah

Gene Green

Bobby Kush Bobby L. Rush	Henry Bonilla
Wind Souder Mark Souder	Ruben Hinojosa Ruben Hinojosa
Ralph Hall	Jerry-Welter
John Skirnkus	Mike Pence
Ciro D. Rodriguez Ciro D. Rodriguez	John Boozman
Jos Wilson	Jim Turner
Solomon Ortiz	John Jullian John Sullivan
Jim Gibbons	Charles Gorzeles
Chane & Watson Diane Watson	Pete Sessions

•

Mark Foley amar Smith Joe Baca Richard Burr Charles Taylor

Dan Hettree Adam Putnam	Silvestre Reyes
Edolphus Towns	Jul Barton Joe Barton
Mac Collins Mac Collins	Carrie Meek
Ron Kind	Johnny sakson
Mike Simpson	Martin Frost
Julia Carson	Nathan Deal
Upu. Lasy Clay William Lacy Clay	Wes Watkins
Timothy Johnson	Ren Lücas
Albert Wynn	Eliot Engel

Sue Myrick Sue Myrick	Loretta Sanchez Loretta Sanchez
Mick Lampson	Ernie Fletcher
Paul Gillmor	Frank Lucas
John Tanner	Bu aluat Ken Caivert
Mancy Johnson	Ellen Tauscher
Deborah Pryce	Roy Blunt
Duke Cunningham	Jave Weldon
Henry Brown	Melissa Hart
Ken Bentsen	John Lewis

Harold Rogers Harold Rogers Adam Schiff	Roo Simmons CT/2 Roo Simmons CT/2 Sanford Bishop, Jr
Anne Northup	Robert Matsui
Mary Bono For	Darrell Issa
Mana Up-Julinun Ileana Ros-Lehtinen	Allen Boyd
Gregory Meeks	Ed Bryant Ed Bryant
Stepy Hover	Mush Mar- Acate Lincoln Diaz-Balart
ito Fossella	Tammy Baldwin
Wike Ross	John Doolittle
	·

Call Butch "Other.		
Shela locker of		
Sheila Jackson-Lee		
E. Clay Shaw, Jr.		·
Todd Tiahrt		
Jim Maloney		
Robin Hayes	<u></u>	-
		-
		and the second s

•

.

•